

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,769	09/04/2003	Ahmad Akashe	77019	6916
48940 7.	590 11/02/2005		EXAMINER	
KRAFT / FETF			WEIER, ANTHONY J	
120 S. LASALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	10/654,769	AKASHE ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Anthony Weier	1761					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE	REPLY FILED 30 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b)		period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no lt, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
5 .4	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).						
been CFR above earne NOT	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
	NDMENTS							
3. ∟	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.							
6.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed: None at this time.							
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. 📙	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
	. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Anthony Weier Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1761	10/31/05				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 103105

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art as combined teaches the invention as presently claimed. It is not clear that the process limitation set forth with regard to the product claims would necessarily provide a protein product source different than that set forth in Goodnight, Jr. et al. Although it is noted that there are processing differences, it has not been demonstrated that the invention of Goodnight, jr. et al does not or could not produce the same product given the range of pH treatments set forth therein..